UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Eastern District of Michigan

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

		V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
		Gurmukh Singh	Case Number: 06-30358
		Defendant	
dete		accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.Son of the defendant pending trial in this case.	C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the
			Part I—Findings of Fact
	(1)	or local offense that would have been a feet a crime of violence as defined in 18 U an offense for which the maximum ser	
			efendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.
_	(2)	§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta	
			mmitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. apped since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a re-	buttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the nunity. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
			Alternative Findings (A)
	(1)	•	
			mment of ten years or more is prescribed in
	(2)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumpthe appearance of the defendant as required	cion established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure and the safety of the community.
		The state of the s	Alternative Findings (B)
1	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant w	
7	(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant w	ll endanger the safety of another person or the community.
		Part II-	-Written Statement of Reasons for Detention
	I fin	nd that the credible testimony and information	
dera		of the evidence that	
ap Alt Ca co	preh houg nada nditid	nended while crossing the Ambassador gh he has no criminal history, all of his calls. Defendant has no incentive to appeation or combination of conditions which we ered.	both flight and danger risks. He is a Canadian resident and citizen who was bridge with \$4-\$6 million dollars (street value) of MDMA (Esctacy) and Marijuana. In the same in Canada. Pretrial Services is incapable of monitoring him in to face these charges given the nature of the penalties he faces. There is no build assure the safety of the community or defendant's appearance in court. Detention
			rt III—Directions Regarding Detention
reas Gov	he ex sonab vernn	xtent practicable, from persons awaiting or ble opportunity for private consultation with	Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance
		July 24, 2006	s/ Mona K. Majzoub
		Date	Signature of Judge
			MONA K MA IZOLIB LINITED STATES MAGISTRATE ILIDGE

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Name and Title of Judge